

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,916	10/30/2003	Clayton Smith	2180-001	5649
27522	7590 12/08/2005	EXAMINER		INER
SEAN W. GOODWIN			RICHARD, CHARLES R	
237- 8TH AVE. S.E., SUITE 360				
THE BURNS BUILDING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CALGARY, AB T2G 5C3			1712	
CANADA				

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/695,916	SMITH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		C. R. Richard	1712			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
2a) <u></u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ☑ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-56 are subject to restriction and/or e  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. election requirement.	·			
<ul> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 1712

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

١. Claims 1-41, drawn to a packer fluid and a related well bore fluid.

classified in class 507, subclass 926.

Claims 42-50, drawn to various well treatment methods, classified in class II.

166, subclass 244.1.

**III**. Claims 51-56, drawn to various kits, classified in class 206, subclass 223.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can

be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process

for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different

product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the fluids could be used for

treating well bores without a casing or in drilling.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions

can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the kits could be

used in treating well bores without a casing or in drilling.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,916

Art Unit: 1712

Inventions I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions

Page 3

in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the

apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed

can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this

case, the fluids may be made by methods using a kit with 3 packages, each containing

only one of the main components of the product fluid and where required to make the

product, at least one also containing well bore fluid.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to groups of patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention.

Species Group I: capping fluids.

Species Group II: corrosion inhibitors.

Species Group III: scale inhibitors.

Species Group IV: salt inhibitors.

Species Group V: oxygen scavengers.

Species Group VI: de/non-emulsifiers.

Art Unit: 1712

Species Group VII: biocides.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each of the seven groups given above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,916 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Fridays, 8am-5pm.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. R. Richard whose telephone number is 571-272-8502. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8am-6pm and alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Michaed

PHILIP TUCKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1712